


false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Sole
or First Inventor YUKIE MIYAMOTO
Inventor's Signature *Yukie Miyamoto*  Date November 6, 2000
Residence Tokyo, Japan
Citizenship Japanese
Post Office Address c/o NEC Corporation, 7-1, Shiba 5-chome, Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan

Full Name of Second
Joint Inventor, If Any _____
Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
Residence _____
Citizenship _____
Post Office Address _____

Full Name of Third
Joint Inventor, If Any _____
Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
Residence _____
Citizenship _____
Post Office Address _____

Full Name of Fourth
Joint Inventor, If Any _____
Inventor's Signature _____ Date _____
Residence _____
Citizenship _____
Post Office Address _____

(An additional sheet(s) is/are attached hereto if the present invention includes more than four inventors.)

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56:

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.